

### AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please replace the claims, including all prior versions, with the listing of claims below.

#### Listing of claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method for detecting faults in connections which connect a first module and a second module, ~~following wherein after~~ an event initiating the detection method, ~~determining~~ one of the modules is determined as initiator and one of the modules as responder, comprising:

~~sending the initiator~~, in a first step, a first value and sending a second value, in a second step, from the initiator to the responder over ~~a~~the connection, wherein a first sequence first value to second value and the first and second value are known to the responder as a first expected sequence;

checking, via the responder, whether the values received in the first and second step match the first expected sequence;

if the check by the responder is successful, in a third step, sending, ~~via the responder~~, a third value and, in a fourth step, sending a fourth value from the responder to the initiator over the connection, wherein a sequence third value to fourth value ~~a second sequence~~ and the third and fourth value are known to the initiator as a second expected sequence;

if the check by the responder is ~~a negative outcome~~ unsuccessful, in the third step, sending, ~~via the responder~~, the fourth value and, in the fourth step, sending the third value from the responder to the initiator over the connection and marking the connection as faulty;

checking, via the initiator, whether the values received in the third and fourth step match the second expected sequence;

if the check by the initiator is successful, sending, in a fifth step, ~~via the initiator~~, a fifth value and, in a sixth step, sending a sixth value from the initiator to the responder over the connection, wherein a ~~third~~ sequence fifth value to sixth value and the fifth and sixth value are known to the responder as a third expected sequence;

if the check by the initiator is ~~a negative outcome~~ unsuccessful, sending, in the fifth step, ~~via the initiator~~, the sixth value and, in the sixth step, sending the fifth value from the initiator to the responder over the connection and marking the connection as faulty;

checking, via the responder, whether the values received in the fifth and sixth step match the third expected sequence, and marking the connection as faulty if the check ~~has a negative outcome~~ is unsuccessful.

2. (Previously presented) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first and second, third and fourth, and fifth and sixth values are pair-wise different in each case.

3. (Currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first and the second step are repeated in any order at least once after completion of the second step, with the first expected sequence being extended accordingly,

the third and the fourth step are repeated in any order at least once after completion of the fourth step, with the second expected sequence being extended accordingly, and

the fifth and the sixth step are repeated in any order at least once following the sixth step, with the third expected sequence being extended accordingly.

4. (Currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein one of the modules is determined as initiator and one of the modules is determined as responder by at least ~~one of~~ one of the following: means of static, administrative definition, by mounting location-dependent definition, by a signal via a separate connection of the modules, and by a signal by means of a protocol over existing connections of the modules.

5. (Previously presented) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein an existing fallback connection is activated for a connection marked as faulty by a control logic device which controls the detection method.

6. (Previously presented) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein for detecting faults on binary connections, one of the values 0 or 1 is selected for the first, the third and the fifth value in each case, and the second value is obtained from logical inversion of the first value, the fourth value is obtained from logical inversion of the third value, and the sixth value is obtained from logical inversion of the fifth value.

7. (Previously presented) The method as claimed in claim 6, wherein for bus connections having a width of n bits, which are formed by n binary connections, the detection method is performed for each of the n binary connections.

8. (Previously presented) The method as claimed in claim 7, wherein for the bus connections having a width of n bits, which are formed by the n binary connections, at least one binary fallback connection is provided which is activated if one of the n binary connections is marked as faulty.

9. (Currently amended) A method for correcting faults in connections between digital modules, comprising:

forming a connection by a first group of active connection lines and providing a second group of inactive connection lines accordingly; and

activating an inactive connection line of the second group and deactivating a connection line that has been active up until this point if the active connection line is found to be faulty by the control logic device, wherein the control logic device in cooperation with a multiplexing device controls activation and deactivation, the control logic device

sending, in a first step, a first value and sending a second value, in a second step, from the initiator to the responder over the connection, wherein a sequence first value to second value and the first and second value are known to the responder as a first expected sequence;

checking, via the responder, whether the values received in the first and second step match the first expected sequence;

if the check by the responder is successful, in a third step, sending a third value and, in a fourth step, sending a fourth value from the responder to the initiator over the connection, wherein a sequence third value to fourth value and the third and fourth value are known to the initiator as a second expected sequence;

if the check by the responder is unsuccessful, in the third step, sending the fourth value and, in the fourth step, sending the third value from the responder to the initiator over the connection and marking the connection as faulty;

checking, via the initiator, whether the values received in the third and fourth step match the second expected sequence;

if the check by the initiator is successful, sending, in a fifth step a fifth value and, in a sixth step, sending a sixth value from the initiator to the responder over the connection, wherein a sequence fifth value to sixth value and the fifth and sixth value are known to the responder as a third expected sequence;

if the check by the initiator is unsuccessful, sending, in the fifth step the sixth value and, in the sixth step, sending the fifth value from the initiator to the responder over the connection and marking the connection as faulty;

checking, via the responder, whether the values received in the fifth and sixth step match the third expected sequence, and marking the connection as faulty if the check is unsuccessful.

10. (Currently amended) A circuit arrangement for correcting faults on connections between digital modules, comprising:

a control logic device to detect arrangement-internal and arrangement-external faults of input/output connections and a multiplexer device to switch over data transmission of faulty active input/output connections to fault-free inactive input/output connections, the control logic device sending, in a first step, a first value and sending a second value, in a second step, from the initiator to the responder over the connection, wherein a sequence first value to second value and the first and second value are known to the responder as a first expected sequence;

checking, via the responder, whether the values received in the first and second step match the first expected sequence;

if the check by the responder is successful, in a third step, sending a third value and, in a fourth step, sending a fourth value from the responder to the initiator over the connection, wherein a sequence third value to fourth value and the third and fourth value are known to the initiator as a second expected sequence;

if the check by the responder is unsuccessful, in the third step, sending the fourth value and, in the fourth step, sending the third value from the responder to the initiator over the connection and marking the connection as faulty;

checking, via the initiator, whether the values received in the third and fourth step match the second expected sequence;

if the check by the initiator is successful, sending, in a fifth step a fifth value and, in a sixth step, sending a sixth value from the initiator to the responder over the connection, wherein a sequence fifth value to sixth value and the fifth and sixth value are known to the responder as a third expected sequence;

if the check by the initiator is unsuccessful, sending, in the fifth step the sixth value and, in the sixth step, sending the fifth value from the initiator to the responder over the connection and marking the connection as faulty;

checking, via the responder, whether the values received in the fifth and sixth step match the third expected sequence, and marking the connection as faulty if the check is unsuccessful.

11. (Canceled)

12. (Previously presented) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 10, wherein the circuit arrangement is part of an integrated circuit.

13. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 10, wherein the first and second, third and fourth, and fifth and sixth values are pair-wise different in each case.
14. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 10, wherein the first and the second step are repeated in any order at least once after completion of the second step, with the first expected sequence being extended accordingly,  
the third and the fourth step are repeated in any order at least once after completion of the fourth step, with the second expected sequence being extended accordingly, and  
the fifth and the sixth step are repeated in any order at least once following the sixth step, with the third expected sequence being extended accordingly.
15. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 10, wherein one of the modules is determined as initiator and one of the modules is determined as responder by at least one of the following: means of static, administrative definition, by mounting location-dependent definition, by a signal via a separate connection of the modules, and by a signal by means of a protocol over existing connections of the modules.
16. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 10, wherein an existing fallback connection is activated for a connection marked as faulty by a control logic device which controls the detection method.
17. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 10, wherein for detecting faults on binary connections, one of the values 0 or 1 is selected for the first, the third and the fifth value in each case, and the second value is obtained from logical inversion of the first value, the fourth value is obtained from logical inversion of the third value, and the sixth value is obtained from logical inversion of the fifth value.
18. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 17, wherein for bus connections having a width of  $n$  bits, which are formed by  $n$  binary connections, the detection method is performed for each of the  $n$  binary connections.

19. (new) The circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 18, wherein for the bus connections having a width of  $n$  bits, which are formed by the  $n$  binary connections, at least one binary fallback connection is provided which is activated if one of the  $n$  binary connections is marked as faulty.